First Five-Year Plan up to 31st March 1956, with the rate of interest ;

(*b*) the names of works over which this loan amount has been spent ?

A.—**Sri T. MARIAPPA** (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) The total open market loans raised during the 1st Plan period up to 31st March 1956 is Rs. 838·41 lakhs. The details are as follows :—

	Rs. in lakhs
(1) 4 Per cent Development Loan 1963	333·47
(2) 4 Per cent Development Loan 1967	354 94
Total	688·41

	Rs. in lakhs
(3) Share of 3⅜ Per cent National Plan Loan raised by the Government of India	150·00
Grand Total	838.41

The rate of interest carried by loans (1) and (2) is at 4 per cent and No. (3) is 3-7/8 per cent.

(*b*) The loan was intended for financing capital expenditure on Electricity Schemes ; (ii) Irrigation Works ; and (iii) Industrial Undertakings included in the First Five-Year Plan. The details of loans raised, the Central assistance and total expenditure are given below :

Rs in lakhs

	Public Loon	*Central Assistance*	*Expenditure*
Irrigation ...	838.41	640·41	1543 37
Electricity...		695·02	1046·83
Industries ...		35·88	126·62
Total	838.41	1371,31	2716·82

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—May I know the actual amount spent on electricity out of the amount of Rs. 838.41 lakhs raised by public loan ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It is very difficult to say exactly how much out of this amount has been spent on electricity.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—May I know whether any amount has been spent towards drawing up an estimate for expansion of the Shimsha Project ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is there any contribution to the sinking fund for amortising these debts ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Yes, it is shown in the Budget.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has any amount out of this been spent for purposes other than the creation of capital assets ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—How many of these works were completed under the First Five-Year Plan and how many of them have been carried forward to the Second Five-Year Plan?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I have already placed on the table of the House a separate statement showing the progress made under the First Five-Year Plan in which expenditure headwise is given.

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—Have any of the electricity schemes begun to yield any returns ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question altogether.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I can give only an indefinite answer. Some of the irrigation pumps have begun to give returns, but it is very difficult to specify which are yielding and which are not.

Construction of Challaghatta Tank.

Q.—364. **Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY** (Bangalore South).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have stopped the construction of "Challaghatta" Tank in Kengeri Hobli, Bangalore South Taluk ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that the revised estimate of this tank is pending before the Government for sanction?

A.—**Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH** (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The revised estimate is under consideration.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—What is the cost of the revised estimate of this tank ?

***Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.**—Rs. 3.4 lakhs.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Is this a remunerative tank ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is not at all remunerative because it costs Rs. 2,166 per acre.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that this Kengeri Hobli where this tank is to be constructed is the most neglected Hobli and that it is a very dry area ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I know it is a dry area, but I cannot say whether it is most neglected.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that it has been recommended by the Department that this work should be taken up as a productive work in this Hobli ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—But there is a complication in this case. After the work was stopped the contractor was intimated that he should not proceed any further, but he has issued a legal notice and the case is now pending before a court of law. So, until that case is decided, Government do not propose to take up this work.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Government was pleased to say that this was not a remunerative work inasmuch as the original estimate had to be revised. Has it come to the notice of the Government that the would-be users of this tank have come forward to make a *pro rata* larger contribution even if the estimate is to be revised ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The present position is that the Government cannot go behind the limit of Rs. 500 contribution. In this case even if we levy a contribution of Rs. 800 it will not be remunerative as the cost would come to something like Rs. 2,160 per acre after levying contribution of Rs. 800 per acre.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Are Government considering at least to change the site of the dam so that the dam may be constructed within the original estimated cost ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—This suggestion will be considered after the case pending in the court is disposed of.

Repair to the Sarigehalli Tank Bund in Turuvekere Taluk.

Q.—394. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to (state:—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the bund of the Sarigehalli Amanikere Tank in Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District, has been neglected ever since it was restored about 50 years ago ;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the atchkatdars have been praying for the improvement of channels and construction of an Ane (called Honnammana Ane) across the Kodihalla to prevent seepage water being wasted ;

(*c*) whether they are aware that there is a breach near the western sluice and also in the centre of the bund and that no action has been taken to repair them ;

(*d*) whether they would hand over the management of the tank to the P.W.D. authorities as the management of the tank by the revenue authorities has not been satisfactory ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) A small scour adjacent to the sluice in the Channel is noticed and action is being taken to set it right.

(*d*) This matter is under consideration.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—50 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿ ಅಮಾನಿಕೆರೆಯ ಕಟ್ಟೆಯು ದುರ್ಲಕ್ಷಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹೀಗೆ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—50 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಎಂದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಹಿಂದಿನಿಂದ ಹೀಗಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನೇಕ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿರ ಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಈ ಕೆರೆಯ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವರ್ಷ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಆರುನಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂಬ ವಿಚಾರ ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಇರಬಹುದು; ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಇದು ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆಯೇ ಮೈನರ್ ಕೆರೆಯೇ ಎಂಬುದು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರಿನಲ್ಲಿ